

REMARKS

Consideration of this Amendment After Final Rejection is earnestly solicited.

Claims 37 and 38 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Applicants have amended Claims 37 and 38 as suggested by the Examiner. It is therefore respectfully submitted that this rejection is now moot.

Claims 34-38 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The Examiner states that the limitation "wherein said color developer does not contribute oxygen to said reaction" is "new matter". Applicants have canceled the objected to phrase in each of Claims 34 and 35. Therefore it is believed that this rejection is now moot.

There being no further rejections to the claims, it is respectfully submitted that Claims 30-38 are in condition for allowance. Review and allowance at the earliest possible date is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

/Ernest B. Lipscomb, III/

Ernest B. Lipscomb, III
Attorney for Applicants
Registration Number 24,733

Customer No. 40842
Barnwell Whaley Patterson& Helms, LLC
P.O. Drawer H
Charleston, SC 29402-0197
Phone: (843) 577-7700
Fax: (843) 577-7708

ELECTRONICALLY FILED USING THE EFS-WEB ELECTRONIC FILING SYSTEM OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE ON NOVEMBER 27, 2006.